

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/616,469	09/616,469 07/14/2000		Michael P. Lyle	RECOP004	6458	
21912	7590	10/19/2004		EXAMINER		
VAN PELT		_ _	HENEGHAN, MATTHEW E			
10050 N. FOOTHILL BLVD #200 CUPERTINO, CA 95014			,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			2134		

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					0. √				
•		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	100				
		09/616,46	9	LYLE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Matthew I		2134					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence add	dress				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stareply received by the Office later than three months after the may be patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no ever reply within the statu od will apply and wi tute, cause the appl	ent, however, may a reply be ti utory minimum of thirty (30) da Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron ication to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely the mailing date of this co ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.				
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06	3 July 2004.							
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is n	on-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicat	ion Papers								
10)	The specification is objected to by the Exam The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction to the oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) the drawing(s) brection is require	ne held in abeyance. See held if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CF					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
2) Noti	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ er No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date	D-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/616,469 Page 2

Art Unit: 2134

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 6 July 2004 has been entered.
- 2. Claim 4 has been amended in response to the first office action. Claims 1-7 have been examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. Details about what would specifically constitute a "packet that would be sent if the connectionless port were not in use," critical or

Art Unit: 2134

essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claim(s) is not enabled by the disclosure. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976).

Applicant discloses that an ICMP packet may be used, but does not specify which types of ICMP packets would be suitable.

The ICMP protocol comprises several dozen types of different messages, each having a different sub-code and a unique meaning. Some, but not all, of the known messages have meanings that might be appropriate in the context of the instant invention. Though it is clear that the invention could use a known sub-code when generating an ICMP message, the functionality described in the instant application does not clearly conform to any one of the well-known definitions for the ICMP functions; therefore, it is necessary for the specification to designate a particular sub-code within ICMP in order for the disclosure to be enabling. Undue experimentation would be required in order to implement a system having this functionality using Applicant's specification.

Given that the nature of the invention is that it is computer networking equipment in communication with external computers using industry standard protocols, the breadth of claim 1 is such that any message that would signify a port not being in use would satisfy the limitation; no message type was found in any protocol or in any prior art or working examples that would be compatible with Applicant's invention fits this definition; moreover, since this uses standardized implementations, one of ordinary skill in the art cannot be expected to use existing sub-codes for roles that do not necessarily apply to their standard definitions, or to create new sub-codes.

Art Unit: 2134

Page 4

4. All previous rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, have been

withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendments.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. All previous rejections under 35 U.S.C. 103 have been withdrawn.

Response to Amendment

6. The declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 filed 6 July 2004 is insufficient to overcome

the rejection of claims 1-7 based upon 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph as set forth in the

last Office action because the it fails to show that a specific type of message that would

signify a port not being in use was well-known in the art at the time the invention was

made, as discussed above.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, p.5, filed 6 July 2004, with respect to the

rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph have been fully considered but they are

not persuasive, for the reasons discussed above.

Art Unit: 2134

8. Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, p.5, filed 6 July 2004, with respect to the rejection of claim 4 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, have been fully considered and are persuasive in view of Applicant's amendments. The rejection of claim 4 has been withdrawn.

Page 5

9. Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, p. 6, filed 6 July 2004, with respect to the rejections of claims 1-7 under 35 U.S.C. 103 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejections under 35 U.S.C. 103 of claims 1-7 have been withdrawn.

Conclusion

- 10. In response to Applicant's request during the telephonic interview held on 18 May 2004 (see Remarks, filed 6 July 2004, p. 4) regarding the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, the Examiner has consulted with Andrew Caldwell, the Supervisory Primary Examiner of Art Unit 2137 (Cryptography and Computer Security). Mr. Caldwell has previously been a Primary Examiner in the Computer Networking area.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew E. Heneghan, whose telephone number is (703) 305-7727. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday, and Friday from 8:30 AM 4:30 PM Eastern Time. Beginning 21 October 2004, the telephone number is being changed to (571) 272-3834.

Art Unit: 2134

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Gregory Morse, can be reached on (703) 308-4789 (beginning 21 October,

(571) 272-3838).

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-

3900 (beginning in October, (571) 272-2100).

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MEH ATH

October 15, 2004

GREGORY MORSE

Page 6

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

WANGLOGY CENTER 2100